## **Intramolecular Addition of a Dioxolanyl Radical to the Indole Nucleus: Preparation of Enantiomerically Pure, Oxygenated**  Perhydro-3H-pyrrolo<sup>[1,2-alindoles]</sup>

Frederick E. Ziegler' and Patrick G. Harran

*Sterling Chemistry Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 0651 1* 

*Received December 14,* **1992** 

The cyclization of dioxolanyl radicals, which were generated by the Barton tartrate-derived thiohydroxamate ester (mixed anhydride) procedure, with an indole nucleus has been explored. The products derived from these reactions have been identified and their chemistry investigated with the **goal** of uncovering new entries into enantiomerically pure, mitomycin-like structures. Thus, the photolysis of **1-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone** ester **8c** and **l-hydroxy-4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione** ester **8d** has been conducted with UV and visible light. The photochemistry of the producta, namely, dimer **9,** dihydroindole **12a,** indole **11,** and thiazole **13c,** derived from the thiohydroxamate esters and putative intermediates **18a** and **18b,** was also explored.

## **Introduction**

A previous report from this laboratory described the radical cyclization  $1 \rightarrow 2$  as a route to 9,9a-dihydro-3Hpyrrolo[l,2-alindoles, which are substructures related to mitomycins.<sup>1,2</sup> This approach suffered in two respects: the olefin would have required functionalization and the products would have been racemic. To circumvent these difficulties, the sequence  $3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$  was considered as a



useful study. The dioxolanyl radical **3** could undergo cyclization to form the benzylic radical **4,** which would be reduced by an appropriate hydrogen atom source to afford **5.** The starred stereogenic, enantiomerically pure center of **3** could serve **as** a stereocontrol element in the cyclization.

Barton has demonstrated that thiohydroxamate esters (mixed carboxylic acid/ thiohydroxamic acid anhydrides) can serve as a convenient source of carbon radicals<sup>3</sup> that can engage in intramolecular additions to olefins<sup>4</sup> in both chain and nonchain reactions.<sup>5</sup> In addition, tartratederived thiohydroxamate esters can be used to generate dioxolanyl radicals that participate in intermolecular additions to electron deficient olefins.<sup>6</sup> We chose to explore the tartrate-derived radicals to achieve the transformation additions to electron deficient olerins.<br>the tartrate-derived radicals to achieve<br>described in the reaction  $3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$ .

## **Results and Discussion**

Methyl 2.3-O-isopropylidene-L-threonate (7a) was prepared from dimethyl L-tartrate as described by Rapoport.<sup>7</sup> Neither the derived mesylate  $7b<sup>7</sup>$  nor the iodide  $7c<sup>8</sup>$  served **as** effective electrophiles for the alkylation of 3-cyanoindole **(6).** However, when the triflate **7d,** which was prepared in situ, was treated with the potassium salt of 3-cyanoindole, the ester **8a** was isolated in 86% yield. Acid **8b,**  which was readily prepared by saponification with aqueous LiOH, was converted to the thiohydroxamate esters **8c**  and **8d** by a variation of the mixed anhydride method? When isobutyl chloroformate and N-methylmorpholine were added to the carboxylic acid to form the mixed anhydride prior to the addition of 1-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone or **l-hydroxy-4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione,** substantial amounts of the carboxylic acid were eventually reisolated. This order of addition was suspect because it allows excess carboxylic acid to exist in the presence of mixed anhydride, which could lead to symmetrical anhydride, a source of acid upon acylation of the N-hydroxy species. The yields of the thiohydroxamates were improved by adding slowly the amine and carboxylic acid to a solution of the chloroformate. Because the thiohydroxmates proved somewhat labile, *8c* more so than **Sd,** they were not subjected to chromatographic purification. The

**<sup>(1)</sup>** Ziegler, F. E.; Jeroncic, L. 0. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991,56, 3479.** 

**<sup>(2)</sup>** For reviews on the synthesis of mitomycins, see: **(a)** Kametani, T.; Takahashi, K. *Heterocycles* **1978,9,293.** (b) Takahashi, K.; Kametani, T.; Heterocycles 1979, 13, 411. (c) Franck, R. W. Fortschr. Chem. Org.<br>Naturst. 1979, 38, 1. (d) Kishi, Y. J. Nat. Prod. 1979, 42, 549. (e) Kasai,<br>M.; Kono, M. Synth. Lett. 1992, 778. For more recent, notable<br>contributions M.; Etheredge, S. J.; Segmuller, B. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 3891.<br>(g) Fukuyama, T.; Yang, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, 109, 7880. (h) Benbow, J. W.; Schulte, **G.** K.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 

**<sup>1992,</sup>** *31,* **916. (3)** Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D.; Motherwell, W. B. *Tetrahedron* **1985, 41, 3901.** 

**<sup>(4)</sup> a)** Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D.; Kretzachmar, **G.** *J. Chem. Soc.,*  Perkins Trans. I 1986, 39. b) Barton, D. H. R.; Guilhem, J.; Hervé, Y.; Potier, P.; Thierry, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1413.<br>(5) a) Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D.; Potier, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5943. b) Barton,

Z. *Tetrahedron* **1987, 43, 2733.** 

<sup>(6)</sup> Barton, D. H. R.; Gateau-Olesker, A.; Gero, **S.** D.; Lacher, B.; Tachdjian, **C.;** Zard, S. *Z. J. Chem.* SOC., *Chem. Commun.* **1987, 1790. (7)** Munich, J. A.; Rapoport, H. *J. Am. Chem.* SOC. **1978,** *100,* **4866.** 

<sup>(8)</sup> Tanaka, **A,;** Yamashita, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1981, 319.** 

**<sup>(9)</sup> a)** Barton, D. H. R.; Bridon, D.; Hervb, Y.; Potier, P.; Thierry, J.; Zard, **S.** Z. *Tetrahedron* **1986, 42, 4983. b)** Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, C.; Hervé, Y.; Potier, P.; Thierry, J. *Ibid.* 1985, 41, 4347.



decomposition of the thiohydroxamate esters was initiated photochemically in degassed  $\sim$  0.05 M THF solution under Ng in a Pyrex vessel; the yields of products are based upon acid 8b. Photolyses of the products isolated from these reactions were conducted at 0.01 M in THF.

Irradiation of the **N-hydroxypyridine-2-thione** ester **8c**  with a **500-W** tungsten lamp (visible light) at room temperature led to the formation of a single, cyclized dimer **9** in 37% yield along with the formation of dipyridyl disulfide **10 (36** % ), smaller **amounts** of cyclized indole 11, and uncyclized thiopyridines 13a and 13b. The formation



of the dimer requires homolysis of the **N-0** bond of **8c,**  decarboxylation, radical inversion, stereoselective formation of the  $C_{1-9a}$  bond,<sup>10</sup> and stereoselective dimerization. The well-resolved 1H NMR spectrum of the dimer

displayed an odd number of protons (nine) and the highresolution mass spectrum indicated a molecular formula of  $C_{30}H_{30}N_4O_4$ . The unanticipated stereochemistry of the dimer was revealed by a single crystal X-ray analysis.<sup>11</sup> The initial expectation was that cyclization would occur through the less-congested transition state with the geometry of 15 to avoid any interaction between the *gem*dimethyl group and the aromatic ring. It is clear that the more-congested transition state 16 is involved in the cyclization. Transition state 16 may be governed by an electronic effect, perhaps electron transfer, that leads to the stabilized radical 17, which is compelled to undergo dimerization on the convex face. Alternatively, the odd electron may be transferred to the electron-deficient aromatic system via 15 to give a charge-transfer species that cyclizes through the geometry of 16.



The presence of thiopyridine  $18a$ , or its  $C<sub>9</sub>$  stereoisomer, could not be detected in the reaction mixture. However, epimericdihydroindoles l2aand 12b formed a yellow anion with n-BuLi in THF, which, upon treatment with dipyridyl disulfide  $10$ , led to the formation of thiopyridine  $18a$ , whose stereochemistry at Cg was assumed to arise, **as** had the dimer, by convex face bond formation. Thiopyridine 18a was stable to irradiation with visible light in THF over a period of 30 min at room temperature, which demonstrated that 18a was not an intermediate in the formation of dimer **9.** However, exposure of 18a to UV irradiation (Hanovia, **450 W)** produced indole 11 and 2-mercaptopyridine within *5* min. Moreover, an NMR sample of 18a in CDCl3 decomposed to the same two products after **4** h, a reaction that was presumably catalyzed by a trace of acid in the solvent. The small amount of indole 11 that was formed along with dimer in the original photolysis could have arisen by hydrogen atom abstraction from radical 17 by pyridinethiyl radical.

Photolysis of thiohydroxamate ester **8c** at **-78** "C for **75**  min gave principally uncyclized products. A mixture

**<sup>(10)</sup> Mitomycin numbering is used. See structure 2.** 

**<sup>(11)</sup> The author has deposited atomic coordinates for this structure**  be obtained, on request, from the Director, Cambridge Crystallographic **Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 IEZ, U.K.** 

 $(43\%)$  of trans- and cis-thiopyridines **13a** and **13b**  $(\sim 3.1)$ by **1H** NMR) were isolated **as** the major products. The methine hydrogen at the sulfur-bearing carbon of the major isomer showed  $J = 6.7$  Hz  $(\delta 6.01)$  while the minor isomer displayed  $J = 4.6$  Hz  $(6.5.50)$ . These chemical shifts and coupling constants were correlated with the values obtained for the thiazole congeners **13c** and **13d,** whose stereochemical assignments were supported by NOE studies (vide infra). The appearance of **11** *5%* of dioxolene **14** suggests that the uncyclized dioxolanyl radical may either capture pyridinethiyl radical or the dioxolanyl radical may lose a hydrogen atom to form **14** in a solvent cage. The small amount of dimer **9** detected in the crude lH NMR spectrum would **also** be consistent with a cage mechaniem. Irradiation of thiohydroxamate ester *8c* with UV light (Hanovia, **450** W) for **8** min at room temperature produced dimer **9 (40% 1,** uncyclized thiopyridines **13a**  and **13b** (20%, 2.81), cyclized indole **11** (20%), and dihydroindole  $12a \left( \sim 2\% \right)$ . The stereochemistry of  $12a$ , a compound that can be prepared more efficiently by other means (vide infra), was corroborated by the appearance of strong **NOES** between the **Cg-H** and Cg,-H **(9%)** and the **Cs.-H** and **C1-H (lo%),** an observation that, taken in the context of the magnitude of the NOES of the other two isomers, suggested a cis arrangement of the three vicinal hydrogens.

In an effort to form monomeric dihydroindoles from the dimer, it was heated at reflux in thiophenol<sup>12</sup> to form indole **11,** dihydroindole **12a,** and fragmentation product **138. A** more effective process was to submit dimer **9** to UV irradiation in degassed THF over 3 h, which gave near equal amounts of indole **11** and dihydroindole **12a.** The facial selectivity in the hydrogen atom abstraction is the same as that observed in the dimerization. This disproportionation is reminiscent of the decomposition of AIBN.13 The reaction can be conducted under conditions that give either of the two monomers. **Thus,** UV irradiation of dimer **9** in the presence of **4** equiv of n-Bu3SnH under the same conditions gave a **7:l** ratio of **12a** and its **Cg** epimer **12b.** The epimeric nature of the two compounds was confirmed as described above, namely, by the formation of **18b** from both compounds. Not surprisingly, exo-nitrile **12b** reacted more slowly than **12a** upon deprotonation with n-BuLi. Moreover, NOE studies on **12b** revealed a **9%** enhancement between the **Cga-H** and **CI-H** (cis) and **3%** enhancement between the **Cg-H** and **Cga-H** (trans). Both dihydroindoles arise from reduction of radical **17.**  However, the minor isomer **12b** was not detected in the photolytic reduction of indole **11** (vide infra) in the presence of n-Bu3SnH; only dihydroindole **12a as** the major product and dihydroindole **19** as the minor product were found. To the degree that dihydroindole **19** is not detected in the reduction of the dimer, indole **11** is not **an**  intermediate in the reduction of the dimer but rather the radical 17 abstracts a hydrogen atom from n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH prior to disproportionation.

When the dimer was photolyzed with UV light in the presence of disulfide **20** at room temperature to **50%**  conversion, indole **11** predominated over dihydroindole **12a** in a **9:l** ratio. Ultimately, the oxidation occurs by hydrogen atom abstraction from radical **17.** The generation of these species may be viewed **as** arising by attack

of radical **17** on the disulfide to form thiazole **18b** followed by its photolysis. This pathway  $(S_H 2)$  has been shown by Barton to be operationally ineffective upon thermal decarboxylation of palmitoyl thiohydroxamate in excess (30 equiv, **74%** yield) molten diphenyl disulfide whereas photolytic conditions are efficient (0 **"C,** 2 equiv of disulfide).5b Alternatively, both the dimer and dipyridyl disulfide are susceptible to photodissociation, a process that would generate both the carbon and thiyl radicals and permit hydrogen atom abstraction from radical **17** by the thiyl radical. Moreover, disulfide **20** is reduced faster than the dimer by n-BusSnH under photolytic conditions.

Owing to the relative instability of the N-hydroxypyridine-2-thione ester **8c,** the photochemistry of the N-hy**droxy-4methylthiazole2-thione** ester *8d* was investigated.4 Irradiation of ester 8d with UV light afforded cyclized indole 11  $(48\%)$ , an  $\sim$  3:1 mixture of uncyclized thiazoles **13c** and **13d** (22%), dioxolene **14 (6%),** and **<6%** (lH NMR) of dimer **9.** The methine hydrogen at the sulfurbearing carbon of 13c displayed  $J = 6.5$  Hz  $(\delta 5.50)$  while the minor isomer revealed  $J = 4.6$  Hz  $(66.23)$ . A  $3\%$  NOE was observed for the vicinal hydrogen of trans isomer **13c**  and a **13** % NOE for cis isomer **13d** upon irradiation of the hydrogen at the sulfur-bearing carbon. The formation of uncyclized thiazole **13c** did not prove to be a dead-end product. UV irradiation of  $13c \sim 0.01 M$ ) in the presence of **4** equiv of n-BusSnH for **7** h slowly gave rise to dihydroindoles **12s (61%)** and **12b (11%)** and indole **11 (14%** ).

The lack of appreciable dimer  $(5\%,$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR) in the photolysis of ester 8d may be viewed **as** a chain process for the formation of indole **11.** Thiazole **18b,** which was prepared by the procedure described for **Ha,** led to the formation of indole **11** upon UV irradiation, a result consistent with a chain mechanism. The photolysis of **18b** generates stabilized radical **17,** which undergoes hydrogen atom abstraction by the thiazolethiyl radical. However, this result only demonstrates that thiazole **18b,**  if formed, can afford indole **11** upon UV photolysis. For a chain mechanism to be operable, radical **17,** once having added to the **C=S** bond of **8d,** would have to favor N-O bond cleavage relative to the same reaction with **8c.** An alternative view holds that radical **17** is formed in a nonchain process upon irradiation of thiohydroxamate ester 8d and that the formation of indole **11** reflects a greater propensity for the thiazolethiyl radical to hydrogen atom abstract from radical **17** compared with the pyridinethiyl radical.

The diphenylmethyl radical has been shown to add reversibly to the carbon-aulfur double bond of thiohydroxamate esters in refluxing benzene during the process of forming tetraphenylethane.6b When ester **8d** was heated in refluxing THF, the product distribution was similar to that for the photolysis. Indole **11 (21%)** and uncyclized thiazoles **13a** and **13b (31%)** were formed in addition to the dioxolene **14 (10% 1.** 

Several experiments were designed to illustrate that thiazolethiyl radicals can be scavenged by n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH. First, thiazolyl disulfide **20** undergoes reduction by n-BusSnH upon UV irradiation. Secondly, UV photolysis of thiazole 18b  $(0.03 M/THF)$  in the presence of 4 equiv of n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH for *5* min gave a **3:l** ratio of indole **11** to dimer **9.** The high ratio indicates that the abstraction of a hydrogen atom by thiazolethiyl radical from radical **17** is faster than abstraction from n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH. Finally, the course of the

**<sup>(12)</sup> Peterson, L.** I. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1967,89,2677.** 

**<sup>(13)</sup> Jaffe, A. B.; Skinner, K. J.; J. M. McBride** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.*  **1972,94, 8510 and references cited therein.** 

photolysis of thiohydroxamate ester **8d** can be changed to produce dimer **9 as** the major product. During the period of the photolysis, **2** equiv of n-BusSnH were added slowly to the reaction mixture. Examination of the mixture by **lH** NMR revealed that dimer 9 was the major product along with lesser amounts of indole 11 and thiazoles 138 and 13b. Because these four indolic species can be channeled on to dihydroindoles, the irradiation was continued for **6.6** h in the presence of **an** additional quantity of n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (4 equiv) to give dihydroindoles  $12a$  (41%) and12b(20%),indole 11 **(17%),anddioxolane13e(3%).** 

Indole 11, **as** noted earlier, is itself susceptible to photoreduction. Irradiation of indole 11 in the presence of 4 equiv of n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH afforded the expected dihydroindole 12a with no detectable amount of its epimer 12b. **A**  third dihydroindole, namely 19, was isolated in **17** % yield. It displayed an 11% NOE between the  $C_9$ -H and  $C_{9a}$ -H (cis) and a 2% NOE between the  $C_1$ -H and  $C_{9a}$ -H (trans). This dihydroindole arises by the addition of hydrogen at  $C_{9a}$  cis to the dioxolane ring to give the diastereomeric radical of 17 that suffers subsequent reduction. In addition, two products of over-reduction were isolated and assigned structures 22a  $(6\%)$  and 23  $(11\%)$ . These substances had virtually identical mass spectra while 228



displayed a first-order IH NMR spectrum whose contiguity of aliphatic protons was readily determined by 2D COSY experiments. The stereochemistries of these compounds were determined when prolonged irradiation (10 h) of dihydroindole 12a in the presence of 4 equiv of  $n-Bu_3SnH$ led to 22a in **87%** yield. When the experiment was repeated with n-Bu3SnD, deuterium was incorporated at two sites: one at **an** ortho position of the aromatic ring and the other adjacent to the nitrile group. This process is viewed as the addition of a hydrogen atom at  $C_{8a}$  of an excited state followed by ring fragmentation.

These studies have provided a new entry into the construction of functionalized, enantiomerically pure, dihydroindoles. We are currently studying the manipulation of their functionality and the extension of carboncentered heterocyclic radicals to the synthesis of other functional groups.

## Experimental Section

All reactions were performed in dried glassware under a  $N_2$ atmosphere. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. **N-Hydroxy-2-thiopyridone** was precipitated from **an** aqueous solution of ita sodium salt hydrate (Aldrich) with 6 M HCl, filtered, dissolved in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> prior to use. All photolyses were conducted in Pyrex vessels and were degassed (freeze-pump-thaw) prior to irradiation. **(14) Still, W. C.; Kahn,** M.; **Mitra, A.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1978,** *43,* **2923.** 

Visible light photolyses were degassed **in** the dark and were irradiated with a Sylvania 500-W tungsten lamp; UV irradiations employed a 450-W medium pressure Hanovia Hg lamp. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> unless noted otherwise; chemical shifts (6) are reported relative to the residual chloroform signal  $(6, 7.27)$ . <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 75.6 MHz and are reported in ppm unless stated otherwise. Melting points are uncorrected. Chromatography on silica gel was conducted as described by Still.14

Methyl **2,2-Dimethyl-S(S)-[[N-(3-cyanoindolyl)]methyl]- 1,3-dioxolane-4(R)-carboxylate** (8a). To a stirred, cooled (-23 °C) solution of methyl 2,3-O-isopropylidene-L-threonate  $(2.27 g,$ 11.92 mmol) and dry pyridine (1.06 mL, 13.11 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (53 mL) is added  $(F_3CSO_2)_2O$  (2.18 mL, 13.11 mmol) dropwise over several minutes. The suspension is stirred vigorously for 5 min, followed by the addition over 5 min of a precooled (-23 **"C)** solution of 3-cyanoindole (3.39 g, 23.84 mmol) and t-BuOK  $(3.08 \text{ g}, 27.42 \text{ mmol})$  in THF  $(220 \text{ mL})$  via a cannulating needle. The temperature is maintained for 30 min, brought to room temperature, and quenched with 5 mL of saturated NH4Cl. The solids are filtered, washed with EtOAc, and the filtrate concentrated to ca.  $\frac{1}{3}$  its volume in vacuo. The remaining solution is diluted with 500 mL of EtOAc, washed with  $H_2O$ , brine, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and concentrated to afford a red-orange paste. The residue is preabsorbed on a minimal amount of silica gel. Chromatography (2.5  $\rightarrow$  4% CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CHCl<sub>3</sub>) affords 8a (3.22 g, 86% ) and excess 3-cyanoindole (1.82 9). **8a:** 'H NMR (benzene-*4)* 7.62 (1 H, d, *J=* 7.8 Hz), 7.15 (1 H, d, *J=* 8.2 Hz), 6.96-7.08  $(2 \text{ H, m}), 6.93 \text{ (1 H, s, NCH=)}, 3.95 \text{ (1 H, ddd, } J = 3.0, 5.5, 7.9)$  $H_{Z}$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CH(OR)), 3.74 (1 H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, CH(OR)CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.67 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.0$ , 15.1 Hz, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 3.54 (1 H, dd,  $J = 5.5$ , 15.1 Hz, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 3.15 (3 H, s, CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.11 (3 H, s), 1.01 (3 H, *8);* l3C NMR 170.0, 136.0, 135.7, 127.3, 123.9, 122.1, 119.6, 2224, 1731 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{18}N_2O_4$ : 314.1267, found 314.1271. **115.5,111.8,110.4,86.3,77.2,75.2,52.6,47.6,26.4,25.5;IR** (CHCl3)

2,2-Dimethyl-5(S)-[[N-(3-cyanoindolyl)]methyl]-1,3-dioxolane-4 $(R)$ -carboxylic Acid (8b). To a solution of ester 8a (2.35 g, 7.46 mmol) in THF (230 mL) at 10 **OC** is added 71 mL of 0.21 M LiOH (14.9 mmol) over several minutes and the resulting mixture is stirred at 10 °C for 4 h. The solution is concentrated to  $\frac{1}{2}$  its original volume in vacuo, diluted with  $H_2O$ , and washed with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ . The aqueous layer is acidified to pH 5 with 1.0 M HC1, saturated with NaC1, and extracted with EtOAc. The combined extracts are washed with brine, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and concentrated to afford 8b  $(2.08 \text{ g}, 93 \%)$  as a pale yellow solid: mp 132-133 °C (EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (benzene-d<sub>6</sub>) 10.28  $(1 H, bs, CO<sub>2</sub>H), 7.59 (1 H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.24 (1 H, d, J = 8.2$ Hz), 6.93-7.09 (3 H, m), 3.98 (1 H, ddd,  $J = 2.5, 5.4, 8.2$  Hz,  $CH<sub>2</sub>CH(OR)$ ), 3.78 (1 H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, CH(OR)CO<sub>2</sub>H), 3.77 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.5$ , 15.2 Hz, overlaps signal at 3.78, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 3.61  $(1 H, dd, J = 5.4, 15.2 Hz, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 1.09 (3 H, s), 0.99 (3 H, s);$ 13C NMR 173.6, 136.2, 135.8, 127.3, 124.1, 122.3, 119.7, 115.5, 112.3, 110.5, 86.2, 77.3, 74.7, 47.5, 26.5, 25.4; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 2994, 2223, 1737 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 300.1111, found 300.1109. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 63.99; H, 5.37; N, 9.33. Found: C, 64.06; H, 5.41; N, 9.28.

General Procedure: **UV** Irradiation of Thiohydroxamate Ester 8c. To a cooled (-15 **"C)** solution of isobutyl chloroformate  $(0.016$  mL,  $0.123$  mmol) in THF  $(0.47$  mL) is added dropwise a mixture of carboxylic acid **8b** (33.5 mg, 0.112 mmol) and 4-methylmorpholine (0.014 mL, 0.123 mmol) in THF (1.15 mL). After 5 min, **N-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone** (15.2 mg, 0.12 mmol) and dry pyridine (0.01 mL, 0.12 mmol) in THF (0.65 mL) is introduced via syringe and the resulting yellow solution is stirred in the dark for **20** min, brought to room temperature, degassed, and irradiated for 8 min. The crude reaction mixture is diluted and irradiated for 8 min. The crude reaction mixture is diluted<br>with EtOAc, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and<br>concentrated. Flash chromatography (30  $\rightarrow$  50% EtOAc/<br>concentrated. This biomatography (30  $\rightarrow$ hexanes) affords cis-thiopyridine **13b** (2.1 mg, 5%), a mixture (11.4mg) of dipyridyl disulfide **10** (5.8 mg) and tram-thiopyridine 13a (5.6 mg, 14%), and indole **11** (5.8 mg, **20%)** and dimer **9** (13 mg) contaminated with small amounts of dihydroindole 12a.

Additional chromatography  $(5\% \text{ CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{CHCl}_3)$  affords pure 9  $(11.7 \text{ mg}, 40\%)$ . 13b: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 8.51 (1 H, d,  $J = 5.3 \text{ Hz}$ ), 7.78 (1 H, *8,* NCH=), 7.77 (1 H, d, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.58 (1 H, m), 7.49  $(1 H, d, J = 7.8 Hz)$ , 7.26-7.39 (3 H, m), 7.11 (1 H, dd,  $J = 5.3$ , 7.2 Hz), 6.59 (1 H, d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, CH(OR)Spyr), 4.69-4.75 (2 H, m), 4.42 (1 H, dd,  $J = 8.9$ , 15.2 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.62 (3 H, s), 1.35 (3 H, s); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_3O_2S: 365.1198$ , found: 365.1196. 13a: **1HNMR8.45(1H,m),7.78(1H,s,NCH=),7.77**  (1 H, m), 7.56 (1 H, **M,** *J* = 1.4,7.6 **Hz),** 7.46 (1 H, m), 7.24-7.31 (3 H, m), 7.10 (1 H, m), 6.01 (1 H, d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, CH(OR)Spyr), (3 H, s), 1.43 (3 H, **a);** 13C NMR 156.4, 149.5,136.5, 135.8, 135.6, 127.5, 123.7, 123.1, 122.0, 120.6, 119.7, 115.6, 112.2, 110.5, 86.3, 81.7, 80.3, 47.8, 27.0, 25.4; IR (CDC13) 2223 cm-l; HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_3O_2S$ : 365.1198, found 365.1200. 11: mp 163-164 °C (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.74 (1 H, m), 7.28-7.33 (3 H, m), **5.80** (1 H, d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, (OR)CHC(=C)N), 5.44 (1 H, m), 4.34 (2 H, m), 1.48 (3 H, **s),** 1.28 (3 H, *8);* 13C NMR (62.9 MHz) 148.6, 132.5, 131.9, 124.1, 122.7, 120.7, 115.2, 114.1, 111.2,82.2, 80.4, 76.0, 51.3, 27.2, 26.0; IR (CHC13) 2222 cm-l; HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{14}N_2O_2$ : 254.1056, found 254.1056. Anal. Calcd for C1SH14N202: C, 70.85; H, **5.55;** N, 11.02. Found: C, 70.91; H, 5.56; N, 10.97. 9: mp 190-191 °C (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.83 (2 H, d, J <sup>=</sup>7.4 Hz), 7.25 (2 H, app t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 6.91 (2 H, dd,  $J = 7.3, 8.0$  Hz), 6.65 (2 H, d,  $J = 80$  Hz), 4.65 (2 H, app t,  $J =$ 4.67 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.6$ , 14.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.54 (1 H, ddd,  $J = 2.6$ , 6.3, 6.7 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH(OR)), 4.42 (1 H, dd, 6.3, 14.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.47 4.9 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH(OR)), 4.46 (2 H, dd, 4.2, 5.0 Hz, CH(OR)CHN),  $3.73$  (2 H, d,  $J = 13.8$  Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>),  $3.63$  (2 H, d,  $J = 4.2$  Hz, NCH), 3.11 (2 H, dd, J = 4.5, 13.8 Hz, NCHz), 1.10 (6 H, **s),** 0.64 (6 H, *8);* 13C NMR (62.9 MHz) 153.7, 130.9, 125.9, 125.2, 120.3, 118.0, 112.7, **109.1,81.6,81.3,72.6,54.8,54.3,25.1,24.1;** IR 2251 cm-l; HRMS (CI) calcd for  $C_{30}H_{30}N_{4}O_{4}$ : (M + H) 511.2347, found 511.2348. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 70.57; H, 5.92; N, 10.97. Found: C, 70.34; H, 5.96; N, 10.89.

Visible Light Photolyses of Thiohydroxamate Ester 8c. A. Irradiation at -78 °C. According to the general procedure, acid 8b (36.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) is converted to the mixed thiohydroxamic acid anhydride 8c and irradiated with visible light for 75 min at  $-78$  °C. Workup provides a crude mixture which is chromatographed (30% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford dioxolene 14 (3.3 mg,  $11\%$ ), cis-thiopyridine 13b (3.8 mg,  $9\%$ ), and a mixture (22.5 mg) of trans-thiopyridine 13a and 2-mercaptopyridine. Further chromatography  $(2\% \text{ CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{CHCl}_3)$ provides pure 13a (14.8 mg, 34%) and 2-mercaptopyridine (6.9 mg). Small quantities (ca. 5-7%) of cyclized materials are detected in the crude 'H NMR spectrum but are not isolated in this experiment, 14: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.77 (1 H, d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 7.70 (1 H, s, NCH=), 7.47 (1 H, d, 8.7 Hz), 7.29-7.38 (2 H, m), 6.30 (1 of 15carbons) **135.1,134.3,132.6,127.7,125.3,123.7,122.1,119.8,**  115.8, 115.7, 110.2, 41.1, 24.9 (2X); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{14}N_2O_2$ : 254.1056, found 254.1067. H, s, =CHOR), 4.83 (2 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.47 (6 H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (14

B. Irradiation at Room Temperature. When acid 8b (95.2 mg, 0.317 mmol) is activated to form 8c and irradiated for 1.5 h at room temperature, workup and chromatography (50% EtOAc/hexanes) affords dipyridyl sulfide 10 (12.4 mg, 36%, slightly impure) and dimer **9** (29.9 mg, 37%). On the basis of isolated 9, integration of the crude <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum shows that the following compounds were formed: indole  $11$   $(8.5\%)$ , 13a (7.0%), 13b ( $\sim$  2.5%), and 14 ( $\sim$  2%).

Decomposition of Thiohydroxamate Ester 8d. These transformations are carried out according to the general procedure.  $3$ -Hydroxy-4-methylthiazole-2( $3H$ )-thione<sup>4a</sup> is substituted for **N-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone.** Protection of 8d from room light is not necessary.

A. UV Photolysis. Carboxylic acid 8b (306 mg, 1.02 mmol) is converted to thiohydroxamate ester 8d, irradiated for 45 min at room temperature, worked up, and chromatographed (30  $\rightarrow$  50% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford dioxolene 14 (16.4 mg, 6%), cis-thiazole 13d (21.8 mg, impure), trans-thiazole 13c (68 mg,  $17\%$ ), and a mixture (214 mg) of indole 11 and 4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione (21). Subsequent chromatography of impure 13d and mixed fractions  $(2\%$  and  $3\%$  CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CHCl<sub>3</sub>, respectively) provides pure 13d (19.5 mg, 5%), 11 (125 mg, 48%), and **4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione** (66 mg, 90% based on isolated 11 and 14). 13d: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.80 (1 H, *s*,  $\cdot$ NCH=), 7.79 (1 H, *d, J* 

 $=6.9$  Hz), 7.52 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz), 7.30–7.40 (2 H, m), 6.88 (1 H, s, thiazole vinyl),  $6.23$  (1 H, d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, CH(OR)S-thiazole), 2.49 (3 H, s), 1.62 (3 H, s), 1.33 (3 H, s); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $\rm C_{19}H_{19}N_3O_2S_2$ : 385.0921, found 385.0945. 13c: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.77 (1 H, d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 7.76 (1 H, s, NCH=), 7.51 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 7.27-7.36 (2 H, m), 6.91 (1 H, s, thiazole vinyl), 5.50 (1 H, d, J 7.27-7.36 (2 H, m), 6.91 (1 H, *8,* thiazole vinyl), 5.50 (1 H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, CH(0R)S-thiazole), 4.66 (1 H, dd, *J* = 2.5, 14.7 Hz, 4.87 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.4$ , 14.8 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.66 (1 H, ddd,  $J = 2.4$ ,  $4.6, 8.4$  Hz,  $CH_2CH(OR)$ ,  $4.46$  (1 H, dd,  $J = 8.4$ , 14.8 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.55 (1 H, ddd,  $J = 2.5, 6.0, 6.5$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH(OR)), 4.42  $(1 H, dd, J = 6.0, 14.7 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.47 (3 H, s), 1.42 (3 H, s), 1.40$ (3 H, *8);* "C-NMR 158.1, 153.7,135.8, 135.7, 127.4, 123.8, 122.1, 119.8, 116.2, 115.6, 112.6, 110.4, 86.4, 84.7, 80.6, 47.5, 26.9, 25.4, 17.0; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 2223 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{19}N_3O_2S_2$ : 385.0921, found 385.0913.

B. Thermolysis. Acid 8b (48.4 mg, 0.161 mmol) is converted to 8d (vide supra), which is heated at  $70^{\circ}$ C for 3 h. Workup and chromatography (30 % EtOAc/hexanes) gives dioxolene 14 (4.1 mg,  $10\%$ ), 13d (4.3 mg,  $7\%$ ), a mixture (22.5 mg) of thiazole 13c and **4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione** 21, and indole 11 (8.5 mg, 21%). Mixed fractions are rechromatographed **(5%** CH3CN/ CHCl<sub>3</sub>) to afford pure 13c  $(14.6 \text{ mg}, 24\%)$  and 21  $(6.0 \text{ mg})$ .

C. UV Photolysis in the Presence of n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH. Acid 8b (217.2 mg, 0.723 mmol) is converted to 8d and irradiation is begun as n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (0.390 mL, 1.45 mmol) in THF (8.5 mL) is added slowly over 25 min via syringe pump. After the addition, 4 equiv of n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH  $(0.78$  mL) is added and the photolysis is continued for 6 h at room temperature. Workup proceeds as described except that the organic layer is also washed with cold 1.0 M NaOH. The basic extracts are acidified with 2.0 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organics are washed with brine, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and concentrated to afford 41 mg of a mixture containing 27.5 mg (from <sup>1</sup>H NMR integration) acid a mixture containing 27.5 mg (from <sup>1</sup>H NMR integration) acid<br>
8b and 13.5 mg of 4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione (21). The<br>
original neutral layer is chromatographed (30  $\rightarrow$  50% EtOAc/<br>
original neutral layer is chromatogra hexanes) to provide dihydroindole 12a (67 mg, 41 % ) and amixture (77 mg) of diastereomer 12b, indole 11, and uncyclized dioxolane 13e. Additional chromatography  $(3\% \text{ CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{CHCl}_3)$  gives 12b  $(32 \text{ mg}, 20\%)$  and a mixture  $(33.4 \text{ mg})$  containing 11  $(28 \text{ mg})$ , 17%) and 13e (5.4 mg, 3%). 12a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.22 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.5$ Hz), 7.14 (1 H, app t,  $J = 7.7$  Hz), 6.81 (1 H, app t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 6.68 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz), 4.77-4.82 (2 H, m), 4.49 (1 H, d,  $J =$ 8.5 Hz, ArCHCN), 3.93 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.7$ , 8.5 Hz, NCH), 3.87 (1 1.17 (3 H, s), 0.57 (3 H, **s);** 13C NMR 151.9, 128.1, 125.0, 122.7, 119.6, 117.6, 112.3, 109.5, 81.4, 80.8, 70.4, 54.9, 32.1, 24.4, 23.6;  $IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2251 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 256.1212,$ found 256.1206. 12b: mp 164 °C (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.17  $(2 \text{ H}, \text{m})$ , 6.79 (1 H, t,  $J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}$ ), 6.67 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.9 \text{ Hz}$ ), 4.79 (1 H, dd, *J* = 3.8, **5.5** Hz, CH2CH(OR)), 4.67 (1 H, app, t, *S* = 5.3 Hz, CH(OR)CHN), 4.60 (1 H, app *8,* ArCHCN), 4.05 (1 H, dd,  $H, d, J = 14.0$  Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.15 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.4$ , 14.0 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.8, 5.0 Hz, NCH), 3.85 **(1 H, d, J = 14.1 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>)**, 3.18 **(1 H**, dd,  $J = 3.8, 14.1$  Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.18 (3 H, s), 0.61 (3 H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 152.8, 129.4, 124.2, 123.8, 120.2, 119.7, 112.0, 109.9, 81.3, 80.1, 71.7, 54.1, 31.2, 24.7, 23.8; IR (CDC13) 2245 cm-l; HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}N_2O_2$ : 256.1212, found: 256.1213. 13e: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.78 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 7.72 (1 H, s, NCH=), 7.28-7.43 (3 H, m), 4.46 (1 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH(OR)), 4.32 (1 H, dd,  $J = 4.1$ , 14.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.22 (1 H, dd, J = 6.5, 14.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.11 (1 H, dd, J = 6.2, 8.7 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>OR), 1.41 (3 H, **s),** 1.34 (3 H, 8); 13C-NMR (125 MHz) 136.0, 135.8, 128.0, **124.2,122.5,120.3,115.9,110.6,110.5,86.7,74.4,66.8,49.5,27.0,**  25.5; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 2222 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}N_2O_2$ : 256.1212, found 256.1206.

Disproportionation of Dimer **9.** A solution of dimer **9** (36.5 mg, 0.072 mmol) in THF (7.2 mL) is irradiated **(UV)** for 3 h at room temperature. The solvent is removed under vacuum and the crude material chromatographed (30 $\rightarrow$  50% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford indole 11 (16.4 mg, 45%) and dihydroindole 12a (16.3 mg, **44%).** 

Reductive Cleavage of Dimer **9.** A solution of dimer **9** (36.2 mg, 0.071 mmol) and n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (0.076 mL, 0.284 mmol) in THF (7.1 mL) is irradiated (UV) for 3 h at room temperature. The solvent is removed in vacuo and the crude material is chromatographed (50% EtOAc/hexanes) toafford dihydroindoles 12a (29.5 mg, 81%) and 12b (3.9 mg, 11%).

Photolysis of trans-Thiazole 13c. A THF solution (6.5 mL) of 13c (24.9 mg, 0.065 mmol) and n-BuaSnH (0.052 mL, 0.195 mmol) is irradiated **(UV)** for 7 hat room temperature. The black precipitate is filtered, the solution concentrated, and the crude product chromatographed (EtOAc/hexanes) to give dihydroindole 12a  $(10.2 \text{ mg}, 61\%)$  and a mixture  $(8.7 \text{ mg})$  containing (amounts assigned by comparison with internal DMSO standard) indole 11 (14%), dihydroindole 12b (11%), and dioxolane 13e (3%).

Pyrrolidine 22a. A solution of 12a (30.0 mg, 0.117 mmol) and n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (0.126 mL, 0.47 mmol) in THF  $(3.0$  mL) is irradiated (UV) for 10 h at room temperature. Solvent evaporation and chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexanes) gives pyrrolidine 22a (26.1 mg,  $87\%$ ) as a white solid: mp 118 °C (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.29 (2 H, app t,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 6.88 (1 H, t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 6.73  $(2 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz})$ , 4.89-4.99  $(2 \text{ H}, \text{ m})$ , 4.09  $(1 \text{ H}, \text{ ddd}, J = 3.5,$ 6.7, 9.3 Hz, NCH), 3.61 (1 H, dd,  $J = 2.2$ , 10.9 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.47  $(1 H, dd, J = 6.0, 10.9 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.73 (1 H, dd, J = 3.5, 16.5)$ Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CN), 2.63 (1 H, dd,  $J = 9.3$ , 16.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CN), 1.62 (3 H, **s),** 1.43 (3 H, **a);** 13C NMR 145.6, 129.4, 119.4, 117.9, 115.0, **113.1,79.2,77.9,57.9,54.7,25.8,24.7,16.2;** IR (CDC13) 2254 cm-l; HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 258.1368, found 256.1358.

Photolytic Reduction of Indole 11. A solution of 11 (59.0 mg, 0.232 mmol) and n-Bu3SnH (0.25 mL, 0.93 mmol) in THF (23.2 mL) is irradiated for 2.25 h at room temperature. Solvent removal and chromatography (30 $\rightarrow$  50% EtOAc/hexanes) affords dihydroindole 12a (34.1 mg, 57%), dihydroindole 19 (5.3 mg), pyrrolidine 23 (6.8 mg, ll%), and a mixture (8.1 mg) containing 19 (4.7 mg, total yield 17%) and **4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione**  (21) (3.4 mg). 19: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.31 (1 H, d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), 7.23 (1 H, app t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), 6.90 (1 H, app t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 6.65 (1 H, d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz), 4.75 (2 H, m), 4.43 (1 H, d,  $J = 9.2$  Hz, ArCHCN), 4.08 (1 H, dd,  $J = 4.8$ , 9.2 Hz NCH), 3.81 (1 H, dd,  $J = 6.1,13.0$ **s),** 1.36 (3 H, *8);* HRMS (EI) calcd for C16H16N2O2: 256.1212, found 256.1220. 23: <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.26 (2 H, m), 6.81 (1 H, app t, 7.3 Hz), 6.62 (2 H, d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz), 5.07 (1 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH(OR)), 4.86  $(1 H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, CH(OR)CHN), 4.37 (1 H, dd, J = 3.9, 6.7)$ Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.50 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.1$ , 13.0 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60 (3 H, Hz, NCH), 3.62 (2 H, d,  $J = 3.3$  Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.61 (1 H, dd,  $J = 3.9$ , 16.9 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CN), 2.53 (1 H, dd,  $J = 6.7$ , 16.9 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CN), 1.45 (3 H, s), 1.39 (3 H, s); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{18}N_2O_2$ : 256.1368, found 256.1361.

Thiopyridine 18a. A THF solution  $(2.1 \text{ mL})$  of  $12a (22.8 \text{ mg})$ 0.089 mmol) is cooled to  $-78$  °C and n-BuLi (0.063 mL, 0.089) mmol, 1.41 M in hexanes) added dropwise. After waiting 5 min, 10 (19.6 mg, 0.089 mmol) in THF (0.7 mL) is added dropwise and the mixture warmed to room temperature. After 2 h, the reaction is quenched with several drops of saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, diluted with EtOAc (30 mL), washed with  $H_2O$  and brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated to produce a crude mixture (41 mg) of 18a and 2-mercaptopyridine. 18a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (partial) 4.97 (1 H, dd,  $J =$ CHN), 4.58 (1 H, d,  $J = 4.4$  Hz, NCH), 3.85 (1 H, d,  $J = 14.0$  Hz, (3 H, s); **HRMS** (CI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_3O_2S$ : (M + H) 366.1276, found 366.1293. 4.4, 5.4 Hz,  $CH_2CH(OR)$ ), 4.84 (1 H, dd,  $J=4.1, 5.4$  Hz,  $CH(OR)$ -NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.19 (1 H, dd, J = 4.1, 14.0 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.19 (3 H, s), 0.64

Thiazole 18b. In the manner described above, 12a (22.7 mg, 0.089 mmol) and **2,2'-dithiobis(4-methylthiazole)** (23.2 mg, 0.089 mmol) give a crude mixture **(44** mg) of 18b and 4-methylthiazole-2(3H)-thione (21): lH NMR 7.20-7.26 (2 H, m), 7.09 (1 H, **s),** 6.82  $(1 H, app t, J = 7.5 Hz)$ , 6.75  $(1 H, d, J = 8.2 Hz)$ , 4.84  $(1 H, dd,$  $CH(OR)CHN$ , 4.51 (1 H, d,  $J = 4.4$  Hz, NCH), 3.87 (1 H, d,  $J$ (3 H, **s),** 1.13 (3 H, **s),** 0.58 (3 H, **s),** HRMS **(CI)** calcd for  $C_{19}H_{19}N_3O_2S_2$ : (M + H) 386.0999, found 386.1012.  $J = 4.0, 5.3$  Hz,  $CH_2CH(OR)$ , 4.74 (1 H, dd,  $J = 4.4, 5.3$  Hz,  $=14.0$  Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.27 (1 H, dd,  $J = 4.0$ , 14.0 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.52

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by PHS Grant CA-39976, **NSF** Grant CHE-9204396, and **NSF**  Instrumentation Grant CHE-9121109. The X-ray **analysis**  was conducted by Susan Huber of the Yale Chemical Instrumentation Center (YCIC), Sterling Chemistry Laboratory, to whom **all** inquires regarding x-ray data should be addressed. We thank Mr. Dan Pentek of the YCIC for recording high-resolution **mass** spectra. P.G.H. expresses his thanks for the receipt of a Bristol Myers Squibb Fellowship.